



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,444	09/11/2001	Klaus Huenlich	112740-262	4127
29177	7590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			MERED, HABTE	
P. O. BOX 113 CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
011101100, 11			2662	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/936,444	HUENLICH ET A	HUENLICH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Habte Mered	2662				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the material of the properties of the proof of the	FROM THE MAILING De under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. illing date of this communication. bove, the maximum statutory period ended period for reply will, by statuter than three months after the mailing	NATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL	• • •	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application	'						
closed in accordance	e with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above clair	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/ard	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are	☑ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/ard	e objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are s	subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
Application Papers			·				
9)⊠ The specification is o	bjected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing	sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration	on is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is n	nade of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some *	c)∏ None of:						
1. Certified copie	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copie	s of the priority documen	ts have been received	in Application No				
· •	,	•	een received in this National	l Stage			
• •	m the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached deta	iled Office action for a list	of the certified copies	not received.				
Attackersentist							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PT)	O-802)	4) 🔲 letoni	iew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent		· Paper	No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/11/20</u>	nt(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice 6) Other:	e of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/936,444 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

 Claims 1-10 are cancelled by the Applicant and substituted with claims 11-20 as stated in the preliminary amendment filed on 11 September 2001.

2. Claims 11-20 are examined.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - On Page 7, in line 13, the Applicant has not defined what a/b interfaces are adequately and further these interfaces are not considered to be known by one skilled in the art and the interfaces are also not shown in any of the Figures1-4. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: it is not clear to the Examiner by what is meant by the phrase "tie line" and the use of this phrase is not considered to be known by one skilled in the art. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2662

6. Claims 11-14, 16, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song (US 6, 289, 018).

Song discloses an ATM switching system that has a subscriber and trunk input/output module where the user data and control data is split and then multiplexed for output purposes.

Regarding claim 11, Song discloses a method for data transmission between 7. communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, a method comprising the steps of: providing a time-slot oriented data format (Column 2, Line 54), formed from a periodic sequence of channel-specific information segments, for data transmission between the communications devices, the data format having information segments for transmitting signaling information (Figure 5, element 124), information segments for transmitting user data information (Figure 5, element 123), and information segments for transmitting data-format-specific information (Column 2, Lines 45-67; B-Channel packet carries the user data and D-Channel data carries the signaling data); transmitting the information segments intended for transmitting the signaling information in first data packets which are intended for data transmission via the packet oriented communications network(Figure 5, element 124); and transmitting the information segments intended for transmitting the user data information in second information segments which are intended for transmitting the data format-specific information(Figure 5, element 123), using second data packets which are intended for data transmission via the packet oriented communications network. (It should be noted here that as shown in Figure 4 Song's system involves a CLAD (Cell Assembly

Art Unit: 2662

Disassembly) right after the user data and the signal data is separated. It is well known to one skilled in the art that cell assembly and disassembly usually involves some form of ATM Adaptation Layer (AAL) protocol. Further, Examiner would like to point out that the Applicant has readily admitted all limitations of this claim as indicated on Page 4, Lines 15-18.)

- 8. Regarding claim 12, Song discloses a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the second information segments and the, information segments intended for transmitting the signaling information are transmitted jointly in the first data packets. (In Figure 4, Song shows using the subscriber output module 2B+D data frames are transmitted)
- 9. Regarding claim 13, Song discloses a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, the method further comprising the step of subdividing the first data packets into at least two packet elements, the second information segments being transmitted in the first packet element, and the information segments intended for transmitting the signaling information being transmitted in the second packet element. (See Figure 10. Song teaches that the B channel packet destined for the same packet may be included in the same ATM cell. See Column 10, Lines 17-25)
- 10. Regarding **claim 14**, Song teaches a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein each of the first and second packet elements have a cell header with a length identification,

Application/Control Number: 09/936,444

Art Unit: 2662

the length identification defining a number of data items transmitted in the respective packet element. (See Figure 10, Table 1 and Column 10, Lines 42-55)

Page 5

- 11. Regarding claim 16, Song teaches a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the data transmission via the packet-oriented communications network takes place on the basis of the ATM data format. (See Figures 4, 9 and 10)
- 12. Regarding claim 19, Song teaches a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the information segments intended for transmitting the signaling information are transmitted via an existing tie line in the packet-oriented communications network. (In Figures 5,6, and 7 the D-Channel mux and B-channel mux form a V-interface with the B+D interface.)
- 13. Regarding claim 20, Song discloses a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the information segments intended for transmitting the signaling information are transmitted via a packet-oriented communications network using a connection, which is set up, specifically for this data transmission, between the communications devices. (Column 2, Lines 64-67. Song refers to these connections as special highways and subhighways.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song (US 6, 289, 018) in view of Geiger et al (G. Geiger et al, "Integrated Circuits for ISDN-status and future", April 1989, IEEE)

Song teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 11 but fails to teach a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the timeslot-oriented data format is the standardized IOM-2 data format.

Geiger discloses the IOM-2 ISDN architecture.

Geiger discloses a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the timeslot-oriented data format is the standardized IOM-2 data format. (Page 192, Section 4.1 and Figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Song's apparatus to incorporate IOM-2 data format, the motivation being to have a device that is interoperable with various ISDN industry standards.

- 16. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song (US 6, 289, 018) in view of Duault et al (US 6, 108, 336).
- 17. Regarding **claim 17**, Song teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 16 but fails to teach a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the information segments intended for transmitting the signaling information are transmitted via the packet-oriented communications network in data packets designed in accordance with the fifth ATM adaptation layer agreement.

Duault teaches how to use AAL-5 to perform AAL-1 and Aal-2 functions.

Duault discloses a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the information segments intended for transmitting the signaling information are transmitted via the packet-oriented communications network in data packets designed in accordance with the fifth ATM adaptation layer agreement. (Duault shows that AAL-5 can be used in a network that uses ATM and ISDN interface. See also Column 14 Lines 10-20)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Song's apparatus to incorporate AAL-5 protocol, the motivation being as stated by Song in Column 2, Line 5 some form of adaptation is used in the CLAD and Duault specifies AAL-5 is best because it is easy to implement and is easily available as stated in Duault's Column 5, Lines 36-45.

18. Regarding **claim 18**, Song teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claim 17 but fails to teach a method for data transmission

between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the information segments intended for transmitting the user data information are transmitted via the packet-oriented communications network in data packets

designed in accordance with the first ATM adaptation layer agreement.

Duault discloses a method for data transmission between communications devices via a packet-oriented communications network, wherein the information segments intended for transmitting the user data information are transmitted via the packet-oriented communications network in data packets designed in accordance with the first ATM adaptation layer agreement. (Duault shows AAL-1 packet format and further shows it can be used to carry user data in Figure 4 and Column 9, Line 20-40)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Song's apparatus to incorporate AAL-1 protocol, the motivation being as stated by Song in Column 2, Line 5 some form of adaptation is used in the CLAD and Duault specifies AAL-1 is best because it is preferred by PBX vendors and is considered as a tool kit with many optional functions including for multiplexing 64 kbits/ channels as stated in Duault's Column 4, Lines 41-55.

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following US Patents are cited to show the state of the art with respect to multiplexing user data into structure blocks in ATM cells:

US Patent (6, 226, 294) to Caves

US Patent (6, 480, 494) to Hawley

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Habte Mered whose telephone number is 571 272 6046.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571 272 3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HM 09-30-2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600